## Case 2:24-cv-01421-DJC-AC Document 31 Filed 10/17/24 Page 1 of 4

1	DAVID M. ROSENBERG-WOHL (S.B.	MATTHEW R. COWAN (S.B. #281114)			
2	#132924)   david@hrw-law.com	mcowan@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP			
3	Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl,	400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor			
3	A Professional Corporation	Los Angeles, California 90071-2899			
4	3080 Washington St.	Telephone: (213) 430-6000			
5	San Francisco, CA 94115 Telephone: (415) 317-7756	Facsimile: (213) 430-6407			
6	Attorney for Plaintiff	MEAGHAN VERGOW* mvergow@omm.com			
7		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1625 Eye Street, NW			
8		Washington, DC 20006			
9		Telephone: (202) 383-5300 Facsimile: (202) 383-5414			
10		ANTON METLITSKY*			
11		ametlitsky@omm.com			
12		JENNIFER SOKOLER* jsokoler@omm.com			
		O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP			
13		1301 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 1700			
14		New York, NY 10019			
		Telephone: (212) 326-2000			
15		Facsimile: (212) 326-2061			
16		Attorneys for Defendants *Admitted pro hac vice			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
18	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
19					
20	JONATHAN GROVEMAN,	Case No. 2:24-cv-01421-DJC-AC			
21	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF REQUEST TO REDACT LIMITED			
22	V.	PORTIONS OF EXHIBIT IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO			
23	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF	DISMISS; ORDER			
24	CALIFORNIA, MICHAEL V. DRAKE, GARY S. MAY, MARY CROUGHAN,	Assigned to Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta Courtroom 10			
25	RENETTA GARRISON TULL, CLARE	Courtiooni 10			
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$	SHINNERL, PABLO REGUERIN, AND DOES 1-10,				
	Defendants.				
27					
28					

## Case 2:24-cv-01421-DJC-AC Document 31 Filed 10/17/24 Page 2 of 4

Pursuant to Local Rule 140 and this Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases,
Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Michael V. Drake, Gary S. May,
Mary Croughan, Renetta Garrison Tull, Clare Shinnerl, and Pablo Reguerin
("Defendants") respectfully request leave to redact personally identifiable information in a
single exhibit in support of Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss the first amended
complaint. Plaintiff consents to this request and has approved of the proposed
redactions.

Per this Court's most recent order regarding the briefing schedule, the deadline for Defendants to respond to the amended complaint is October 17, 2024. (ECF No. 29.) Defendants anticipate filing a motion to dismiss, accompanied by several supporting exhibits comprising documents incorporated into the complaint. One supporting exhibit consists of an email Plaintiff sent to officials at the University of California, Davis with an attachment of a report that Plaintiff filed with the U.S. Department of Justice. The report contains Plaintiff's home address and phone number. Defendants seek permission to redact that sensitive personal information in its filing before this Court. A copy of the exhibit with the proposed redactions is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Pursuant to Local Rule 140 and this Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases, prior approval of the Court is required in order to redact this information. Redaction is warranted here "[i]n light of legitimate privacy concerns." *Brown v. Elk Grove Unified Sch. Dist.*, No. 2:17-CV-00396-KJM-DB, 2019 WL 13095063, at \*1 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 19, 2019) (granting request to redact telephone numbers and home addresses); *see also, e.g., Kamakana v. City & Cty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1184 (9th Cir. 2006) (affirming order permitting redaction of home addresses); *Kemper Indep. Ins. Co. v. Wells*, No. 117CV01612AWISAB, 2018 WL 558791, at \*1 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 25, 2018) (authorizing redaction of defendants' home addresses); *Pryor v. City of Clearlake*, No. C 11-0954 CW, 2012 WL 3276992, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 2012) (authorizing redaction of

## Case 2:24-cv-01421-DJC-AC Document 31 Filed 10/17/24 Page 3 of 4 information including addresses and telephone numbers). "[T]he public's interest in access to documents," Kemper, 2018 WL 558791, at \*1, will be safeguarded because the vast majority of the document will remain publicly accessible, and the minimal information proposed to be redacted has no bearing on the issues in the case or arguments raised in the motion to dismiss. For these reasons, Defendants respectfully request that this Court authorize the limited redactions sought by Defendants and consented to by Plaintiff. Dated: October 15, 2024. By: /s/ Matthew R. Cowan Matthew R. Cowan Attorney for Defendants

Case 2:24-cv-01421-DJC-AC	Document 31	Filed 10/17/24	Page 4 of 4		
<u>ORDER</u>					
This Court, having considered the Request to Redact Limited Portions					

f Exhibit in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants The Regents of the University of California, Michael V. Drake, Gary S. May, Mary Croughan, Renetta Garrison Tull, Clare Shinnerl, and Pablo Reguerin ("Defendants"), and compelling reason appearing therefor, hereby ORDERS as follows:

Defendants' Request to Redact Limited Portions of Exhibit in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED. Defendants may file the exhibit with Plaintiff's home address and phone number redacted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 16, 2024 /s/ Daniel J. Calabretta

THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE